LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW FEMA REGION [#]

Instructions for using the attached Crosswalk Reference Document for Review and Submission of Local Mitigation Plans to the State Hazard Mitigation Officer and FEMA Regional Office

Attached is a crosswalk reference document, which is based on the Final Draft Report **State and Local Plan Interim Criteria Under the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000**, published by FEMA HQ and dated July 11, 2002. This document was based on the **Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000** (P.L. 106-390), enacted October 30, 2000 and 44 CFR Parts 201 and 206 Interim Final Rule, published February 26, 2002.

The purpose of the crosswalk is to provide a tool to local jurisdictions in developing and submitting Mitigation Plans under Section 322 of the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000. The crosswalk can be used to assist local or multi-jurisdiction entities in the process of developing and reviewing Local or Multi-jurisdictional plan should be reviewed by the pertinent local jurisdictional entity prior to submitting the plan to the respective State. In addition as stated in the Interim Final Rule §201.6(d)(1) "Plans must be submitted to the State Hazard Mitigation Officer for initial review and coordination. The State will then send the plan to the appropriate FEMA Regional Office for formal review and approval." The local jurisdiction must fill out column 3 prior to submitting the plan for formal review and approval.

Tribes may submit hazard mitigation plans through their respective states or they can directly submit their plans to FEMA Region [#]. This means they can write a Local or Multi-jurisdictional Plan as a sub-grantee or they may write a Standard or Enhanced State Plan as a Grantee. When tribes are considering how they want to develop and submit their plans, they need to consider whether or not they want to be Grantees directly from FEMA or Sub-grantees through their respective states. The deciding factor would be how they want to apply for and receive Pre-disaster Mitigation Grant projects, Hazard Mitigation Grant Program projects, or Flood Mitigation Assistance projects. Interested tribes can determine this by talking with their State Hazard Mitigation Officer or their respective FEMA Regional Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration (FIMA) Division. In any case, each tribe should review their own plans before submitting them to their state or FEMA Regional office.

Following are explanations of each column.

- Column 1 indicates on what page or pages in the State and Local Plan Interim Criteria document more detailed information can be found regarding the requirements.
- Column 2 references and directly quotes the 44 CFR Parts 201 and 206 Interim Final Rule.
- Column 3 is for the tribe and/or local jurisdiction to indicate the Section or Annex and the page number(s) in their plan where the requirement is addressed.
- Column 4 provides space for State/FEMA comments and for scoring of the plan.

Local Mitigation Plan Review and Approval Status

Local Requirement				
Local Plan Submitted to the State by:	Title:	Date:		
State Requirement				
State Reviewer:	Title:	Date:		
FEMA Requirement				
FEMA Requirement FEMA Reviewer:	Title:	Date:		
	Title:	Date:		
	Title:	Date:		
FEMA Reviewer:	Title:	Date:		
FEMA Reviewer: Date Received in FEMA Region [#]I	Title:	Date:		
FEMA Reviewer:	Title:	Date:		
FEMA Reviewer: Date Received in FEMA Region [#]I	Title:	Date:		

Point of Contact:	Local P	lan Reviewed by:		
Title:	Title:			
Agency:		NFIP Status (Single	e Jurisdiction)	
Phone Number:		Participating	Non-Participating	
Multi-jurisdiction: YES NO	N/A*	NFIP Status (for n	napped communities)	
(If yes, list each jurisdiction below:) 1.		Participating	Non-Participating	
2.		Participating	Non-Participating	
3.		Participating	Non-Participating	
4.		Participating	Non-Participating	
5.		Participating	Non-Participating	
6.		Participating	Non-Participating	
7.		Participating	Non-Participating	
8.		Participating	Non-Participating	
9.		Participating	Non-Participating	
[ATTACH PAGE (S) WITH ADDITIONAL JURISDICTIONS]				

Local Plan POC:

Please complete the information requested on this profile form. The form will be submitted with your plan to the State. Using the attached crosswalk, compare your local plan content with the criteria outlined. Please note under the column heading "Location in the Plan" the page(s) where your plan addresses/meets the criteria. Thank you.

* Not applicable for communities not mapped and/or who do not have an identified flood risk.

LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN SUMMARY WORKSHEET

The plan cannot be reviewed if the <u>prerequisite</u> is not met for a single jurisdictional plan, or prerequisites are not met for a multi-jurisdictional plan.

All mandatory criteria, except those highlighted in gray, must receive a score of "Satisfactory" or "Outstanding" for the plan to receive FEMA approval. A less than "Satisfactory" score on subsections highlighted in gray will not preclude the plan from passing. Reviewer's comments must be provided for requirements receiving a "Needs Improvement" score.

SCORING SYSTEM

Please check one of the following for each requirement.

- **U Unsatisfactory:** The plan does not address the criteria.
- **N Needs Improvement:** The plan addresses the criteria, but needs significant improvement. Reviewer's comments must be provided.
- **S Satisfactory:** The plan meets the minimum criteria. Reviewer's comments are encouraged, but not required.
- **O Outstanding:** The plan exceeds the minimum criteria. Reviewer's comments are encouraged, but not required.

Prerequisite (s) (Check Applicable Box)	NOT	MET	M	ET
Adoption by the Local Governing Body: §201.6(c)(5) OR				
Multi-jurisdictional Plan Adoption: §201.6(c)(5) AND				
Multi-jurisdictional Participation: §201.6(a)(3)				
Planning Process	U	N	s	0
Documentation of the Planning Process: §201.6(c)(1)				
	_	_		_
Risk Assessment	U	N	S	0
Identifying Hazards: §201.6(c)(2)(i)				
Profiling Hazard Events: §201.6(c)(2)(i)				
Assessing Vulnerability: Overview: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)				
Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Assets: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(A)				
Assessing Vulnerability: Estimating Potential Losses: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B)				
Assessing Vulnerability: Analyzing Development Trends: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(C)				
Multi-jurisdictional Risk Assessment: §201.6(c)(2)(iii)	·			

Mitigation Strategy	U	N	S	0		
Local Hazard Mitigation Goals: §201.6(c)(3)(i)						
Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Measures: §201.6(c)(3)(ii)						
Implementation of Mitigation Measures: §201.6(c)(3)(iii)						
Multi-jurisdictional Mitigation Strategy: §201.6(c)(3)(iv)						
Plan Maintenance Procedures	U	N	s	0		
Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan: §201.6(c)(4)(i)						
Implementation Through Existing Programs: §201.6(c)(4)(ii)						
Continued Public Involvement: §201.6(c)(4)(iii)						
Additional State Requirements*	U	N	s	0		
Insert State Requirement						
Insert State Requirement						
Insert State Requirement						
LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN APPROVAL STAT	LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN APPROVAL STATUS					
PLAN NO	PLAN NOT APPROVED					
PLAN APPROVED						

*States that have additional requirements can add them in the appropriate sections of the *Plan Review Criteria* or create a new section. States need then modify this

See Reviewer's Comments

worksheet to record the score for those requirements.

PLAN REVIEW CRITERIA REFERENCE (SECTION PAGE #) PREREQUISITE (S) (3-1)	REQUIREMENT AS TAKEN FROM THE INTERIM FINAL RULE PART 201	LOCATION IN THE PLAN (INDICATE SECTION OR ANNEX AND PAGE #)	SCORE / STATE / FEMA REVIEWER COMMENTS SCORING SYSTEM MET/NOT MET (FOR PREREQUISITE (S) ONLY) UUNSATISFACTORY NNEEDS IMPROVEMENT OOUTSTANDING NOTE: The prerequisite, or prerequisites in the case of multi-jurisdictional plans, must be met before the plan can be approved.
Adoption by the Local Governing Body (3-2)	Requirement §201.6(c)(5): [The local hazard mitigation plan shall include] documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan (e.g., City Council, County Commissioner, Tribal Council)		
OR Multi-Jurisdictional Plan Adoption (3-3)	Requirement §201.6(c)(5): For multi-jurisdictional plans, each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan must document that it has been formally adopted.		
AND Multi-Jurisdictional Planning Participation (3-4)	Requirement §201.6(a)(3): Multi-jurisdictional plans (e.g., watershed plans) may be accepted, as appropriate, as long as each jurisdiction has participated in the process Statewide plans will not be		

PLAN REVIEW	REQUIREMENT AS TAKEN	LOCATION IN THE	SCORE / STATE / FEMA REVIEWE	R COMMENTS
CRITERIA	FROM THE INTERIM FINAL	PLAN	SCORING SYSTEM	
REFERENCE	RULE PART 201	(INDICATE SECTION OR ANNEX AND	MET/NOT MET (FOR PREREQUISIT	TE (S) ONLY)
(SECTION PAGE #)		PAGE #)	UUNSATISFACTORY	SSATISFACTORY
			NNEEDS IMPROVEMENT	OOUTSTANDING
			INNEEDS IMPROVEMENT	OOUTSTANDING
	accepted as multi-jurisdictional plans.			
PLANNING PROCESS				
(3-5)				
Documentation of the	Requirement §201.6(c)(1):			
Planning Process	[The plan must document] the			
(3-6)	planning process used to			
(0 0)	develop the plan, including how			
	it was prepared, who was			
	involved in the process, and how			
RISK ASSESSMENT	the public was involved.			
(3-9)				
Identifying Hazards	Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i):			
(3-10)	[The risk assessment shall			
, ,	include a] description of the			
	typeof all natural hazards that			
Profiling Hazard	can affect the jurisdiction Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i):			
Events				
(3-14)	[The risk assessment shall include a] description of			
(3-14)	thelocation and extent of all			
	natural hazards that can affect			
	the jurisdiction. The plan shall			

PLAN REVIEW CRITERIA REFERENCE (SECTION PAGE #)	REQUIREMENT AS TAKEN FROM THE INTERIM FINAL RULE PART 201	LOCATION IN THE PLAN (INDICATE SECTION OR ANNEX AND PAGE #)	SCORE / STATE / FEMA REVIEWER COMMENTS SCORING SYSTEM MET/NOT MET (FOR PREREQUISITE (S) ONLY) UUNSATISFACTORY NNEEDS IMPROVEMENT OOUTSTANDING
	include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events.		
Assessing Vulnerability: Overview (Currently found under Identifying Assets section, p.3-18—to be corrected in next version of the Plan Criteria)	Requirement 201.6(c)(2)(ii): [The risk assessment shall include a] description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards described in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section. This description shall include an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community.		
Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Assets (3-18)	Requirement 201.6(c)(2)(ii)(A): The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of: The types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas		Note: A less than "Satisfactory" score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing

PLAN REVIEW CRITERIA REFERENCE (SECTION PAGE #)	REQUIREMENT AS TAKEN FROM THE INTERIM FINAL RULE PART 201	LOCATION IN THE PLAN (INDICATE SECTION OR ANNEX AND PAGE #)	SCORE / STATE / FEMA REVIEW SCORING SYSTEM MET/NOT MET (FOR PREREQU UUNSATISFACTORY NNEEDS IMPROVEMENT	
Assessing Vulnerability: Estimating Potential Losses (3-22)	Requirement 201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B): [The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of an] estimate of the potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures identified in paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) of this section and a description of the methodology used to prepare the estimate			atisfactory" score on this preclude the plan from
Assessing Vulnerability: Analyzing Development Trends (3-24)	Requirement 201.6(c)(2)(ii)(C): [The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of] providing a general description of land uses and development trends within the community so that mitigation options can be considered in future land use decisions.			atisfactory" score on this preclude the plan from
Multi-Jurisdictional Risk Assessment (3-26)	Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(iii): For multi-jurisdictional plans, the risk assessment section must assess each jurisdiction's risks where they vary from the risks facing the entire planning area.			

PLAN REVIEW CRITERIA REFERENCE (SECTION PAGE #)	REQUIREMENT AS TAKEN FROM THE INTERIM FINAL RULE PART 201	LOCATION IN THE PLAN (INDICATE SECTION OR ANNEX AND PAGE #)	SCORE / STATE / FEMA REVIEWER COMMENTS SCORING SYSTEM MET/NOT MET (FOR PREREQUISITE (S) ONLY) UUNSATISFACTORY NNEEDS IMPROVEMENT OOUTSTANDING
MITIGATION STRATEGY (3-29)			Note: Any changes made in the risk assessment to address previous unsatisfactory or needs improvement scores, will need to be reflected in the Mitigation Strategy section to gain final approval of the plan.
Local Hazard	Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i):		•
Mitigation Goals	[The hazard mitigation strategy		
(3-30)	shall include: a] description of		
	mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to		
	the identified hazards.		
Identification and	Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii):		
Analysis of Mitigation Measures	[The mitigation strategy shall		
	include a] section that identifies		
(3-34)	and analyzes a comprehensive range of specific mitigation		
	actions and projects being		
	considered to reduce the effects		
	of each hazard, with particular		
	emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure.		
	Sandingo and initiatitation.		
Implementation of	Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iii):		
Mitigation Measures	[The mitigation strategy section		
(3-36)	shall include] an action plan		
	describing how the actions		
	identified in section (c)(3)(ii) will be prioritized, implemented, and		
	administered by the local		

PLAN REVIEW	REQUIREMENT AS TAKEN	LOCATION IN THE	SCORE / STATE / FEMA REVIEW	VER COMMENTS	
CRITERIA REFERENCE	FROM THE INTERIM FINAL RULE PART 201		SCORING SYSTEM		
(SECTION PAGE #)		(INDICATE SECTION OR ANNEX AND	MET/NOT MET (FOR PREREQUI	SITE (S) ONLY)	
,		PAGE #)	UUNSATISFACTORY	SSATISFACTORY	
			NNEEDS IMPROVEMENT	OOUTSTANDING	
	jurisdiction. Prioritization shall include a special emphasis on the extent to which benefits are maximized according to a cost benefit review of the proposed projects and their associated costs.				
Multi-jurisdictional	Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv):				
Mitigation Strategy (3-40)	For multi-jurisdictional plans, there must be identifiable action items specific to the jurisdiction requesting FEMA approval or credit of the plan.				
PLAN MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES					
(3-43)					
Monitoring,	Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i):				
Evaluating, and Updating the Plan	[The plan maintenance process shall include a section				
(3-44)	describing the] method and schedule of monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle.				

PLAN REVIEW CRITERIA REFERENCE (SECTION PAGE #)	REQUIREMENT AS TAKEN FROM THE INTERIM FINAL RULE PART 201	LOCATION IN THE PLAN (INDICATE SECTION OR ANNEX AND PAGE #)	SCORE / STATE / FEMA REVIEWER COMMENTS SCORING SYSTEM MET/NOT MET (FOR PREREQUISITE (S) ONLY) UUNSATISFACTORY NNEEDS IMPROVEMENT OOUTSTANDING
Implementation Through Existing Programs (3-48) Continued Public Involvement	Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii): [The plan shall include a] process by which local governments incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii):		
(3-50) ADDITIONAL STATE REQUIREMENTS	[The plan maintenance process shall include a] discussion on how the community will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process.		States that have additional requirements can add them in the appropriate sections of the Plan Review Criteria or create a new section. States need then modify this worksheet to record the score for those requirements.
_	Insert State Requirement (s)		